

RoC / RD Key Performance Indicators

Disposal of Company eForms (FTE eForms not included)

Performance Metrics:

- Keep it Up
- Performance to be further improved
- Needs Improvement
- Bad Performance, All out efforts required


OFFICE	CARRY-OVER PENCY	INFLOW	WORKLOAD	DISPOSAL	DISPOSAL %	RANK	LAST WEEK'S RANK	CHANGE	REMARKS
	<i>Pendency of the office as on 30.06.2017</i>	<i>Inflow of eForms during the week 01.07.2017-07.07.2017</i>	<i>Carry-over Pendency + Inflow</i>	<i>Disposal during the week 01.07.2017-07.07.2017</i>	<i>Disposal / Workload</i>				
Central Registration Centre	773	8777	9550	11652	122%	1	1	↔	Pendency as of Last week not available
DIN CELL-HQDL	543	1811	2354	2429	103%	2	2	↔	Keep it Up
RD - Ahmedabad	135	9	144	14	10%	15	3	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - Chennai	51	6	57	5	9%	17	8	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - Guwahati	8	0	8	0	0%	32	32	ZERO DISPOSAL	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - Hyderabad	66	12	78	2	3%	30	32	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - Kolkata	824	30	854	26	3%	28	31	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - Mumbai	323	18	341	21	6%	21	25	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - North	908	29	937	124	13%	10	29	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Ahmedabad	3061	328	3389	273	8%	18	17	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Andaman	5	0	5	0	0%	32	32	ZERO DISPOSAL	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Bangalore	2193	411	2604	417	16%	5	5	↔	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chandigarh	1306	113	1419	145	10%	12	14	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chennai	3339	248	3587	355	10%	13	24	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chhattisgarh	385	42	427	25	6%	22	7	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Coimbatore	456	54	510	72	14%	8	15	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Cuttack	2115	55	2170	68	3%	27	28	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Delhi	4944	1143	6087	1335	22%	3	9	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Ernakulam	1640	135	1775	170	10%	16	30	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Goa	354	22	376	27	7%	19	10	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Gwalior	1612	81	1693	98	6%	23	16	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Himachal Pradesh	168	16	184	7	4%	25	6	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Hyderabad	1902	365	2267	349	15%	6	11	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jaipur	825	129	954	179	19%	4	12	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jammu	170	11	181	0	0%	32	18	ZERO DISPOSAL	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jharkhand	738	20	758	25	3%	26	20	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Kanpur	2525	204	2729	337	12%	11	19	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Kolkata	9460	411	9871	489	5%	24	23	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Mumbai	12274	1011	13285	1975	15%	7	13	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Patna	1441	40	1481	37	2%	31	26	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Pondicherry	60	8	68	9	13%	9	27	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Pune	1685	172	1857	124	7%	20	4	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Shillong	849	39	888	27	3%	29	22	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Uttarakhand	249	26	275	27	10%	14	21	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required

RoC Key Performance Indicators

Disposal of LLP eForms

Performance Metrics:

■	Keep it Up
■	Performance to be further improved
■	Needs Improvement
■	Bad Performance, All out efforts required

OFFICE	CARRY-OVER PENCY	INFLOW	WORKLOAD	DISPOSAL	DISPOSAL %	RANK	LAST WEEK'S RANK	CHANGE	REMARKS	
	<i>Pendency of the office as on 30.06.2017</i>	<i>Inflow of eForms during the week 01.07.2017-07.07.2017</i>	<i>Carry-over Pendency + Inflow</i>	<i>Disposal during the week 01.07.2017-07.07.2017</i>	<i>Disposal / Workload</i>					
RoC - Ahmedabad	■	57	368	425	313	74%	4	6	↑	Needs Improvement
RoC - Bangalore	■	386	276	662	233	35%	19	18	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chandigarh	■	26	51	77	46	60%	8	15	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chennai	■	210	115	325	123	38%	16	22	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chhattisgarh	■	6	15	21	1	5%	22	7	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Coimbatore	■	12	26	38	20	53%	10	10	↔	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Cuttack	■	5	13	18	17	94%	1	9	↑	Keep it Up
RoC - Delhi	■	878	587	1465	888	61%	7	20	↑	Needs Improvement
RoC - Ernakulam	■	250	124	374	176	47%	14	23	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Goa	■	8	21	29	18	62%	6	7	↑	Needs Improvement
RoC - Gwalior	■	5	52	57	49	86%	2	3	↑	Performance to be further improved
RoC - Himachal Pradesh	■	1	3	4	2	50%	12	1	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Hyderabad	■	129	169	298	115	39%	15	16	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jaipur	■	59	120	179	85	47%	13	12	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jammu	■	1	7	8	0	0%	23	14	ZERO DISPOSAL	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jharkhand	■	6	11	17	6	35%	18	4	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Kanpur	■	233	193	426	295	69%	5	21	↑	Needs Improvement
RoC - Kolkata	■	104	240	344	201	58%	9	11	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Mumbai	■	1692	803	2495	716	29%	21	19	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Patna	■	2	22	24	18	75%	3	1	↓	Needs Improvement
RoC - Pondicherry	■	0	0	0	0	NA	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	ZERO DISPOSAL	Keep it Up
RoC - Pune	■	202	283	485	247	51%	11	13	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Shillong	■	7	21	28	9	32%	20	5	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Uttarakhand	■	17	28	45	16	36%	17	17	↔	Bad Performance, All out efforts required